

Autumn Term 2018 Consultations on 2019/20 Arrangements - Combined Responses Analysis

Primary & Secondary Schools Block

Question	Strongly Agree	On Balance Agree	Strongly Disagree	Total No. of Responses to this Question	% Strongly Agree	% On Balance Agree	% Strongly Disagree
1. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to mirror the DfE's National Funding Formula?	3	1	0	4	75%	25%	0%
2. Do you agree with the proposal to transfer £2.0m from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block?	1	2	1	4	25%	50%	25%
3. Do you agree with the proposal to set the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) at 0%?	1	3	0	4	25%	75%	0%
4. Do you agree with the proposal to retain the NFF variables cash flat (0%) in line with a 0% MFG?	1	3	0	4	25%	75%	0%
5. Do you agree with the proposal not to implement the optional 1% increase per pupil on 2017/18 NFF baselines factor?	1	1	1	3	33%	33%	33%
6. Do you agree with the proposal not to apply a ceiling?	3	1	0	4	75%	25%	0%
7a. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to use our existing formulae for the allocation of split sites and pupil mobility funding?	2	2	0	4	50%	50%	0%
7b. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to use of existing formula for the apportionment of BSF DSG affordability gap funding?	2	1	0	3	67%	33%	0%
7c. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to use of existing methodology for the definition of notional SEND budgets?	1	2	0	3	33%	67%	0%
7d. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to protect SEND Funding Floor allocations at 52017/18 cash values pending review for April 2020?	2	1	0	3	67%	33%	0%
8. Do you agree with the proposed criteria and methodology for the allocation of the Growth Fund to schools and academies?	2	1	0	3	67%	33%	0%
9a. Do you agree with the proposal in outline to establish a Falling Rolls Fund within the Schools Block for the primary phase in 2019/20?	0	2	1	3	0%	67%	33%
9b. Do you agree with the proposed scope and eligibility triggers for the Falling Rolls Fund?	0	2	1	3	0%	67%	33%
9c. Would you agree to the use of de-delegated funding to support maintained primary schools only (but not primary academies) that are facing falling rolls and / or under-subscription that are not eligible for the Falling Rolls Fund due to their latest Ofsted judgement?	1	1	1	3	33%	33%	33%

Responses to Question 10 - Should we continue to de-delegate for the following purposes:

	YES	NO
FSM Eligibility Assessments	3	1
Fischer Family Trust - School Licences	1	2
School Maternity / Paternity 'insurance'	2	1
Trade Union Facilities Time	2	1
Trade Union Health and Safety Rep Time	1	2
School Staff Public Duties and Suspensions Fund	2	1

Early Years Single Funding Formula

Question	Strongly Agree	On Balance Agree	Strongly Disagree	Total No. of Responses to this Question	% Strongly Agree	% On Balance Agree	% Strongly Disagree
1. Do you agree with the proposal to retain the existing framework, timetabling and counting arrangements for the operation of the EYSFF in 2019/20?	4	2	0	6	67%	33%	0%
3. Do you agree with the proposal to retain the single funding rate value for the 2 year old entitlement at the value the DfE funds Bradford's Early Years Block (which in 2018/19 was £5.20 per hour)	2	3	0	5	40%	60%	0%
4. Do you agree with the proposal to set the value of the 3&4 year old universal base rate in 2019/20 at £4.11 i.e. the value that was published in the Authority's consultation in autumn 2016?	2	1	3	6	33%	17%	50%
6. Do you agree with the proposal to continue our current Deprivation & SEND Supplement factor in 2019/20 set to allocate 9.5% of the EYSFF?	1	3	2	6	17%	50%	33%
7. Do you agree with the proposal not to add any further supplements into the EYSFF in 2019/20?	3	1	2	6	50%	17%	33%
8. Do you agree with the Authority's proposals for a holistic early years SEND inclusion fund?	0	5	0	5	0%	100%	0%

High Needs

Question	Strongly Agree	On Balance Agree	Strongly Disagree	Total No. of Responses to this Question	% Strongly Agree	% On Balance Agree	% Strongly Disagree
1. Do you agree with the Council's proposal to continue the existing system for the calculation of high needs funding allocations in 2019/20 on the basis set out in section 4?	1	0	0	1	100%	0%	0%
3. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to use the existing Ranges Model framework as the basis for the calculation of the Pupil-Led Need top-up funding element?	1	0	0	1	100%	0%	0%
4. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to use the existing Setting-Led Need factors as shown in Appendix 3?	1	0	0	1	100%	0%	0%
5. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to use our existing definition of 'notional SEND' funding identified for individual primary and secondary schools and academies?	1	0	0	1	100%	0%	0%
6. Do you agree with the proposal to continue to protect in 2019/20 the values of SEND Floor allocations for primary and secondary schools and academies currently in receipt of this funding at their 2017/18 levels?	1	0	0	1	100%	0%	0%